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The coupled Cahn-Hilliard Navier-Stokes equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \phi=\mathcal{L}_{d} \Delta \mu, & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\mu=-\epsilon \Delta \phi-\frac{\phi}{\epsilon}+\frac{\phi^{3}}{\epsilon}, & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\operatorname{Re} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\right)=-\nabla p+\nabla \cdot(\eta D(\mathbf{u}))+\mathcal{B} \mu \nabla \phi+\mathbf{g}_{\text {ext }}, & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0, & \text { in } \Omega . \\
& \\
\qquad=\frac{1+\phi}{2}+\lambda_{\rho} \frac{1-\phi}{2}, & \eta=\frac{1+\phi}{2}+\lambda_{\eta} \frac{1-\phi}{2},
\end{array}
$$

The generalized Navier boundary condition:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+u_{\tau} \partial_{\tau} \phi=-\mathcal{V}_{s} L(\phi), & \text { on } \Gamma_{w}, \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{s} l_{s}\right)^{-1} u_{\tau}=\mathcal{B} L(\phi) \partial_{\tau} \phi / \eta-\mathbf{n} \cdot D(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}, & \text { on } \Gamma_{w}, \\
u_{n}:=\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}=0, \quad \partial_{n} \mu=0, & \text { on } \Gamma_{w} .
\end{array}
$$

## Cahn-Hilliard system:

- $2 \times 2$ block element stiffness matrix for $\phi_{h}^{n+1}$ and $\mu_{h}^{n+1}$ : non-symmetric

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
K_{\phi \phi}^{n} & K_{\phi \mu}^{n}  \tag{0.8}\\
K_{\mu \phi}^{n} & K_{\mu \mu}^{n}
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\binom{F_{\phi}^{n}}{F_{\mu}^{n}}
$$

- If treat the nonlinear term explicitly: constant coefficients
- The unknowns are ordered node by node so that the nonzeros are placed as close as possible in the matrix:


Figure: (a) Matrix pattern of the Cahn-Hilliard system obtained using the linear decoupled scheme on a structured mesh. (b) An enlarged view.

## Velocity system of Navier-Stokes equations:

- In complex domains, one should combine all the components of

$$
\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{z}\right), \quad \mathbf{n}=\left(n_{x}, n_{y}, n_{z}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}=\left(\tau_{x}, \tau_{y}, \tau_{z}\right)
$$

together into calculation, leading to $3 \times 3$ block element stiffness matrices.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
K_{u_{x} u_{x}}^{n} & K_{u_{x} u_{y}}^{n} & K_{u_{x} u_{z}}^{n}  \tag{0.9}\\
K_{u_{y} u_{x}}^{n} & K_{u_{u_{y} u_{y}}} & K_{u_{y} u_{z}}^{n} \\
K_{u_{z} u_{x}}^{n} & K_{u_{z} u_{y}}^{n} & K_{u_{z} u_{z}}^{n}
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{c}
F_{u_{x}}^{n} \\
F_{u_{y}}^{n} \\
F_{u_{z}}^{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## Pressure system of Navier-Stokes equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla\left(p_{h}^{n+1}-p_{h}^{n}\right), \nabla q_{h}\right)=-\frac{\bar{\rho}}{\delta t} \operatorname{Re}\left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h}^{n+1}, q_{h}\right) \tag{0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting element stiffness matrix is symmetric positive definite.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{p}^{n}(i, j)=\left(\nabla \chi_{i}, \nabla \chi_{j}\right), \\
& F_{p}^{n}(i)=-\frac{\bar{\rho}}{\delta t} \operatorname{Re}\left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h}^{n+1}, \chi_{i}\right)+\left(\nabla p_{h}^{n}, \nabla \chi_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$


(c)
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Three linear systems for $\left(\phi_{h}^{n+1}, \mu_{h}^{n+1}\right), \mathbf{u}_{h}^{n+1}$ and $p_{h}^{n+1}$ respectively, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{h} x=b_{h} \tag{0.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Features of $A_{h}$ :

- $A_{h}$ is very large for 3D problems
- $A_{h}$ is ill-conditioned, $\kappa\left(A_{h}\right)=\frac{\max _{i} \lambda_{i}}{\min _{i} \lambda_{i}}$
- $A_{h}$ is sparse

Comparison:

- Direct methods: Gaussian elimination or its variation

Exact solution can be obtained if without rounding error Great cost on memory

- Fast algorithms: FFT and cyclic reduction methods

Not suitable for general matrices and distributed memory system

- Iterative methods:

Lower memory requirement and generally fewer arithmetic operations
Easy to implement in parallel

## Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient Method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{h}^{0}=b_{h}-A_{h} x_{h}^{0} \\
& M_{h} z_{h}^{0}=r_{h}^{0} \\
& p_{h}^{0}=z_{h}^{0} \\
& \text { For } k=0,1, \ldots, N \\
& \alpha_{k}=\frac{\left(z_{h}^{k}, r_{h}^{k}\right)}{\left(p_{h}^{k}, A_{h} p_{h}^{k}\right)} \\
& x_{h}^{k+1}=x_{h}^{k}+\alpha_{k} p_{h}^{k} \\
& r_{h}^{k+1}=r_{h}^{k}-\alpha_{k} A_{h} p_{h}^{k} \\
& M_{h} z_{h}^{k+1}=r_{h}^{k+1} \\
& \beta_{k+1}=\frac{\left(z_{h}^{k+1}, r_{h}^{k+1}\right)}{\left(z_{h}^{k}, r_{h}^{k}\right)} \\
& p_{h}^{k+1}=z_{h}^{k+1}+\beta_{k+1} p_{h}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Memory: Store four vectors ( $x_{h} ; z_{h} ; p_{h} ; r_{h}$ ) and possibly a sparse matrix
- $\kappa\left(M_{h}^{-1} A_{h}\right) \ll \kappa\left(A_{h}\right)$, or $M_{h}$ is spectrally close to $A_{h}$
- $M_{h}^{-1} x_{h}$ has to be easy to compute, easy to parallelize


## Parallel Computing

## Distributive Computing based on MPI



Distributed Memory


Domain Decomposition


Subdivision of matrix and vector

## Domain decomposition methods

- Interface problem requires a very fine mesh to capture the interface, especially in 3D as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- A partition of the domain $\Omega_{h}=\Omega_{h, 1} \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_{h, n p}$ where $\Omega_{h, i} \cap \Omega_{h, j}=\emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$.
- Meshes are partitioned using Metis on a relatively coarse level and are refined sufficiently for computation.


Figure: (a) A sample partition of a structured mesh into 8 subdomains, (b) a partition of an unstructured mesh into 16 subdomains, (c) a sample partition into 24 subdomains.

Right preconditioning of the linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{h} M_{h}^{-1} y_{h}=b_{h}, \text { with } x_{h}=M_{h}^{-1} y_{h}, \tag{0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A geometrical restrict additive Schwarz (RAS) [Cai1999] preconditioned GMRES method is employed to solve the implicit systems of $(\phi, \mu)$ and $\mathbf{u}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{h, i}^{\delta}=R_{h, i}^{\delta} b_{h}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{b_{h, i}^{\delta}}{b \backslash b_{h, i}^{\delta}}, \\
& M_{h}^{-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n p}\left(R_{h, i}^{0}\right)^{T}\left(A_{h, i}\right)^{-1} R_{h, i}^{\delta}, \\
& A_{h, i}=R_{h, i}^{\delta} A_{h}\left(R_{h, i}^{\delta}\right)^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Subdomain solver: Incomplete LU (ILU) factorization

$$
A_{h, i}=L_{h, i} U_{h, i}+P_{h, i}
$$

where $P_{h, i}$ satisfies some criteria such as preserving certain sparsity patterns. Details about the ILU(p) factorization can be found in [Saad2003].

An algebraic multigrid (AMG) preconditioned CG method is used to solve the pressure Poisson system.

- BoomerAMG from Hypre library
- Smoothed Aggregation in PETSc library

$$
\text { AMG: } x_{l}=A M G_{l}\left(x_{l}, d_{l}\right)
$$

0 . If on the coarsest level, then:
Solve $C_{l} x_{I}=d_{l}$ by Gaussian elimination, else:

1. Apply $\mu$ steps of smoothing to $C_{l} x=d_{l}$
2. Coarse grid correction:
(a). Set $d_{l+1}=\left(l_{l+1}^{l}\right)^{T}\left(d_{l}-C x_{l}\right)$ and $x_{l+1}=0$
(b). Solve the coarse problem $B_{l+1} x_{I+1}=d_{l+1}$ by $\gamma$ applications of $x_{l+1}=A M G_{I+1}\left(x_{l+1}, d_{l+1}\right)$
(c). Then correct the solution on the level /
by $x_{l} \leftarrow x_{l}+l_{l+1}^{\prime} x_{l+1}$

3. Apply $\mu$ steps of smoothing to $C_{l} x=d_{l}$.


Figure: The overall solution procedure.

## Parallel performance

A droplet spreading on rough surface with unstructured mesh of $212,434,560$ elements and $31,711,677$ vertices. The scalability tests are performed on the Tianhe 2 supercomputer.
Table: The scalability test for the proposed solution algorithm. The average number of GMRES(CG) iterations, compute time per time step, and speedup for solving the Cahn-Hilliard system, the velocity system, and the pressure system.

| \#unknowns | Cahn-Hilliard system 63,423,354 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { velocity system } \\ 95,135,031 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { pressure system } \\ 31,711,677 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n p$ | GMRES | time | sp. | GMRES | time | sp. | CG | time | sp. |
| 512 | 8.1 | 12.68 | 1 | 9.3 | 23.27 | 1 | 30 | 11.88 | 1 |
| 1,024 | 7.4 | 6.48 | 1.96 | 9.8 | 11.88 | 1.96 | 30.4 | 6.46 | 1.84 |
| 2,048 | 8.4 | 3.45 | 3.68 | 9.6 | 6.65 | 3.50 | 32.3 | 3.56 | 3.34 |
| 4,096 | 8.3 | 1.87 | 6.78 | 10.1 | 3.71 | 6.27 | 31.1 | 2.0 | 5.94 |



## Parallel performance

The two-phase bumpy channel flow with unstructured mesh of 301,412,352 elements and $51,270,353$ vertices. The scalability tests are performed on the Tianhe 2 supercomputer.

Table: The scalability test for the two-phase bumpy channel flow case. The average number of GMRES (CG) iterations, compute time per time step, and speed up for solving the Cahn-Hilliard system, the velocity system, and the pressure system. "-" means the case fails to converge.

|  |  | Cahn-Hilliard system \#unknowns=102,540,706 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { velocity system } \\ \text { \#unknowns=153,811,059 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | pressure system \#unknowns=51,270,353 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n p$ | subsolve | GMRES | time | sp. | GMRES | time | sp. | sweep | CG | time | sp. |
| 1,920 | ILU(2) | 71.6 | 4.74 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 24.7 | 2.85 | 1 |
| 1,920 | ILU(3) | 20.5 | 2.96 | 1 | 28.1 | 13.43 | 1 | 2 | 21.2 | 3.41 | 1 |
| 1,920 | ILU(4) | 15.9 | 3.37 | 1 | 18.6 | 18.72 | 1 | 3 | 20.6 | 4.20 | 1 |
| 5,760 | ILU(2) | 79.6 | 2.72 | 1.74 | - | - | - | 1 | 24.7 | 1.28 | 2.23 |
| 5,760 | ILU(3) | 21.2 | 1.31 | 2.26 | 28.3 | 5.32 | 2.52 | 2 | 19.7 | 1.60 | 2.13 |
| 5,760 | ILU(4) | 17.1 | 1.46 | 2.31 | 18.9 | 7.19 | 2.60 | 3 | 20 | 1.78 | 2.36 |
| 9,600 | ILU(2) | 77.7 | 1.58 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 25.6 | 1.1 | 2.59 |
| 9,600 | ILU(3) | 22.2 | 1.07 | 2.76 | 33.4 | 4.09 | 3.86 | 2 | 21.3 | 1.47 | 2.32 |
| 9,600 | ILU(4) | 17.9 | 1.15 | 2.93 | 24.6 | 5.37 | 3.49 | 3 | 20.6 | 1.61 | 2.61 |
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## Parallel performance

The two-phase Couette flow with structured mesh of 9,584,640 elements and $8,520,321$ vertices. The scalability tests are performed on the Sunway TaihuLight supercomputer.

Table: The average number of iterations, compute time per time step, and speed up for solving the Cahn-Hilliard-velocity system

|  | Cahn-Hilliard-velocity system |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#unknowns | $42,601,605$ |  |  |  |  |  |$]$
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