

Bad reduction of genus 3 curves with Complex Multiplication

Elisa Lorenzo García
Universiteit Leiden

Joint work with Bouw, Cooley, Lauter, Manes, Newton, Ozman.

October 1, 2015

1 Motivation

- Gross-Zagier Formula
- Gross-Zagier $g=2$
- Gross-Zagier $g=3$

2 Set up

- Abelian Varieties with CM
- Bad reduction

3 Main Theorem

- The statement
- The Proof

4 Removing the assumptions

1 Motivation

- Gross-Zagier Formula
- Gross-Zagier $g=2$
- Gross-Zagier $g=3$

2 Set up

- Abelian Varieties with CM
- Bad reduction

3 Main Theorem

- The statement
- The Proof

4 Removing the assumptions

Gross-Zagier $g=1$

Let be $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ be two different orders of discriminant d_i in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_i})$. We wonder whenever there are two elliptic curves E_i/\mathbb{C} with CM by \mathcal{O}_i and a prime \mathfrak{p} such that $E_1 \simeq E_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. In order to answer it, Gross and Zagier defined the number:

Gross-Zagier $g=1$

Let be $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ be two different orders of discriminant d_i in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_i})$. We wonder whenever there are two elliptic curves E_i/\mathbb{C} with CM by \mathcal{O}_i and a prime \mathfrak{p} such that $E_1 \simeq E_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. In order to answer it, Gross and Zagier defined the number:

$$J(d_1, d_2) = \left(\prod_{\substack{[\tau_1], [\tau_2] \\ \text{disc}(\tau_i) = d_i}} (j(\tau_1) - j(\tau_2)) \right)^{\frac{8}{w_1 w_2}},$$

where the τ_i run over equivalence classes, and w_i is the number of units in \mathcal{O}_i .

Gross-Zagier $g=1$

Let be $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ be two different orders of discriminant d_i in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_i})$. We wonder whenever there are two elliptic curves E_i/\mathbb{C} with CM by \mathcal{O}_i and a prime \mathfrak{p} such that $E_1 \simeq E_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. In order to answer it, Gross and Zagier defined the number:

$$J(d_1, d_2) = \left(\prod_{\substack{[\tau_1], [\tau_2] \\ \text{disc}(\tau_i) = d_i}} (j(\tau_1) - j(\tau_2)) \right)^{\frac{8}{w_1 w_2}},$$

where the τ_i run over equivalence classes, and w_i is the number of units in \mathcal{O}_i .

- Under some assumptions, GZ show that $J(d_1, d_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and their main result gives a formula for its factorization.
- Lauter and Viray generalize the result for other disc. [LV14].

Gross-Zagier $g=1$

Let be $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ be two different orders of discriminant d_i in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_i})$. We wonder whenever there are two elliptic curves E_i/\mathbb{C} with CM by \mathcal{O}_i and a prime \mathfrak{p} such that $E_1 \simeq E_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. In order to answer it, Gross and Zagier defined the number:

$$J(d_1, d_2) = \left(\prod_{\substack{[\tau_1], [\tau_2] \\ \text{disc}(\tau_i) = d_i}} (j(\tau_1) - j(\tau_2)) \right)^{\frac{8}{w_1 w_2}},$$

where the τ_i run over equivalence classes, and w_i is the number of units in \mathcal{O}_i .

- Under some assumptions, GZ show that $J(d_1, d_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and their main result gives a formula for its factorization.
- Lauter and Viray generalize the result for other disc. [LV14].

Remark

$J(d_1, d_2) = \text{Res}(H_{d_1}, H_{d_2})$ (Hilbert polynomials \Rightarrow CM-method)

Gross-Zagier $g=1$

Roughly speaking,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{factorization} \\ J(d_1, d_2) \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting} \\ \text{Isom}_n(E_1, E_2) \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting} \\ \text{embeddings} \\ \iota : \text{End}(E_2) \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty} \end{array} \right\}$$

Gross-Zagier $g=1$

Roughly speaking,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{factorization} \\ J(d_1, d_2) \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting} \\ \text{Isom}_n(E_1, E_2) \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting} \\ \text{embeddings} \\ \iota : \text{End}(E_2) \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty} \end{array} \right\}$$

They prove

$$v_l(j_1 - j_2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \#\text{Isom}_n(E_1, E_2).$$

Gross-Zagier $g=1$

Roughly speaking,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{factorization} \\ J(d_1, d_2) \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting} \\ \text{Isom}_n(E_1, E_2) \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting} \\ \text{embeddings} \\ \iota : \text{End}(E_2) \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty} \end{array} \right\}$$

They prove

$$v_l(j_1 - j_2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \#\text{Isom}_n(E_1, E_2).$$

Idea

If $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}) \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_2})$, we need $\text{End}^0(\bar{E}_i) \simeq B_{p,\infty}$.

$$\iota : \text{End}(E_2) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\bar{E}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(\bar{E}_1) \simeq B_{p,\infty}$$

Gross-Zagier $g=2$

Generalizations $g = 2$:

- Igusa invariants: $i_j : \mathcal{M}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

Gross-Zagier $g=2$

Generalizations $g = 2$:

- Igusa invariants: $i_j : \mathcal{M}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$
- For C_1, C_2 curves with CM by orders $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ in quartic CM-fields, we want to define

$$J(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2) = \text{g.c.d}(i_1 - i'_1, i_2 - i'_2, i_3 - i'_3)$$

Gross-Zagier $g=2$

Generalizations $g = 2$:

- Igusa invariants: $i_j : \mathcal{M}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$
- For C_1, C_2 curves with CM by orders $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ in quartic CM-fields, we want to define

$$J(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2) = \text{g.c.d}(i_1 - i'_1, i_2 - i'_2, i_3 - i'_3)$$

PROBLEM!! the invariants are not integer numbers any more!! but, still rational

Gross-Zagier $g=2$

Generalizations $g = 2$:

- Igusa invariants: $i_j : \mathcal{M}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$
- For C_1, C_2 curves with CM by orders $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ in quartic CM-fields, we want to define

$$J(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2) = \text{g.c.d}(i_1 - i'_1, i_2 - i'_2, i_3 - i'_3)$$

PROBLEM!! the invariants are not integer numbers any more!! but, still rational

Numerators: (Gross-Zagier formula) Goren and Lauter.

$$\{\text{factorization}\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting} \\ \text{Isomorphisms} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting embeddings} \\ \iota : \text{End}(C_2) \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty} \otimes L \end{array} \right\}$$

Gross-Zagier $g=2$

Generalizations $g = 2$:

- Igusa invariants: $i_j : \mathcal{M}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$
- For C_1, C_2 curves with CM by orders $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ in quartic CM-fields, we want to define

$$J(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2) = \text{g.c.d}(i_1 - i'_1, i_2 - i'_2, i_3 - i'_3)$$

PROBLEM!! the invariants are not integer numbers any more!! but, still rational

Numerators: (Gross-Zagier formula) Goren and Lauter.

$$\{\text{factorization}\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting} \\ \text{Isomorphisms} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting embeddings} \\ \iota : \text{End}(C_2) \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty} \otimes L \end{array} \right\}$$

Denominators: (Cryptographic purposes) Goren & Lauter, Bruinier & Yang, Lauter & Viray, Streng.

$$\{\text{factorization}\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Bad} \\ \text{Reduction} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Counting embeddings} \\ \iota : \text{End}(C_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(B_{p,\infty}) \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem (Lauter-Viray)

p divides denominators (χ_{10}) \Leftrightarrow Bad Reduction \Leftrightarrow Solution to emb. prob.

Igusa invariants: $i_j = \frac{\text{some modular form}}{\chi_{10}}$, $p \mid \chi_{10} \Leftrightarrow \bar{J}$ decomposable.

Bad reduction: $\Leftrightarrow \bar{C} = C_1 \cup C_2$

Theorem (Lauter-Viray)

p divides denominators $(\chi_{10}) \Leftrightarrow$ Bad Reduction \Leftrightarrow Solution to emb. prob.

Igusa invariants: $i_j = \frac{\text{some modular form}}{\chi_{10}}$, $p \mid \chi_{10} \Leftrightarrow \bar{J}$ decomposable.

Bad reduction: $\Leftrightarrow \bar{C} = C_1 \cup C_2$

Idea

If \mathfrak{p} is a prime of bad reduction, then $\bar{C} = C_1 \cup C_2$ and $\bar{J} = J(C_1) \times J(C_2)$
 $\Rightarrow \bar{J} \simeq E \times F \Rightarrow \bar{J} \sim E^2 \Rightarrow \iota: \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(B_{p,\infty})$

We will look for a bound, so we don't care about the other direction of the implication.

Gross-Zagier $g=3$

PROBLEM: there are not invariants!!

PROBLEM: there are not invariants!!

but ..., we can still study the embedding problem!

$$\iota : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_3(B_{p,\infty})$$

Bad reduction $\Rightarrow \overline{J(C)} \sim E^3$ with E supersingular \Rightarrow we have a solution to the embedding problem.

PROBLEM: there are not invariants!!

but ..., we can still study the embedding problem!

$$\iota : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_3(B_{p,\infty})$$

Bad reduction $\Rightarrow \overline{J(C)} \sim E^3$ with E supersingular \Rightarrow we have a solution to the embedding problem.

Conditions:

- Optimal
- Rosati involution given by complex conjugation

$$\gamma \rightarrow \gamma^\dagger = \lambda^{-1} \cdot \gamma^V \cdot \lambda$$

- 1 Motivation
 - Gross-Zagier Formula
 - Gross-Zagier $g=2$
 - Gross-Zagier $g=3$
- 2 Set up
 - Abelian Varieties with CM
 - Bad reduction
- 3 Main Theorem
 - The statement
 - The Proof
- 4 Removing the assumptions

Abelian Varieties with CM

Proposition

If A is an abelian variety defined over a number field M and with CM, then it has potentially good reduction everywhere.

Proposition (Lang)

Let A be an abelian variety with CM by K and defined over a field of characteristic zero. The CM-type (K, φ) is primitive if and only if the abelian variety A is simple.

Abelian Varieties with CM

Proposition

If A is an abelian variety defined over a number field M and with CM, then it has potentially good reduction everywhere.

Proposition (Lang)

Let A be an abelian variety with CM by K and defined over a field of characteristic zero. The CM-type (K, φ) is primitive if and only if the abelian variety A is simple.

If $g = 2$: (K, φ) primitive iff K does not contain any imaginary quadratic subfield K_1 . This is not true any more if $g = 3$.

(R1) **Restriction 1:** we assume that K does not contain any K_1 .

Abelian Varieties with CM

Proposition

If A is an abelian variety defined over a number field M and with CM, then it has potentially good reduction everywhere.

Proposition (Lang)

Let A be an abelian variety with CM by K and defined over a field of characteristic zero. The CM-type (K, φ) is primitive if and only if the abelian variety A is simple.

If $g = 2$: (K, φ) primitive iff K does not contain any imaginary quadratic subfield K_1 . This is not true any more if $g = 3$.

(R1) **Restriction 1:** we assume that K does not contain any K_1 .

If $g = 2$: in the previous setting, we have that λ is given by a diagonal matrix.

(R2) **Restriction 2:** we still assume that λ is diagonal.

Curves with CM

Let C be a genus 3 curve with CM by K . We denote by J its Jacobian.

Proposition

One of the following three possibilities holds for the irreducible components of \overline{C} of positive genus:

- (i) (good reduction) \overline{C} is a smooth curve of genus 3,*
- (ii) \overline{C} has three irreducible components of genus 1,*
- (iii) \overline{C} has an irreducible component of genus 1 and one of genus 2.*

Curves with CM

Let C be a genus 3 curve with CM by K . We denote by J its Jacobian.

Proposition

One of the following three possibilities holds for the irreducible components of \overline{C} of positive genus:

- (i) (good reduction) \overline{C} is a smooth curve of genus 3,*
- (ii) \overline{C} has three irreducible components of genus 1,*
- (iii) \overline{C} has an irreducible component of genus 1 and one of genus 2.*

Theorem

If \overline{J} is not simple, then \overline{J} is isogenous to E^3 . In particular, if C has bad reduction, then $\overline{J} \sim E^3$.

- 1 Motivation
 - Gross-Zagier Formula
 - Gross-Zagier $g=2$
 - Gross-Zagier $g=3$
- 2 Set up
 - Abelian Varieties with CM
 - Bad reduction
- 3 Main Theorem
 - The statement
 - The Proof
- 4 Removing the assumptions

The main Theorem

We are ready to state the main theorem in our paper:

Theorem

Let C be a genus 3 curves with CM by a CM-field K (with a primitive CM-type). Write $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})$ for some totally negative element $\alpha \in K^+/\mathbb{Z}$ with $\sqrt{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O} = \text{End}(J(C))$. Assume further that we are under restrictions (R1) and (R2).

Then any prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ for which there is a solution to the embedding problem, in particular, for primes of bad reduction, is bounded by

$$p \leq 4 \text{Tr}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)^6 / 3^6.$$

Sketch of the proof

Proof (Sketch).

If p is a prime of bad reduction, then there exists an embedding

$$\iota : K = \text{End}^0(J) \hookrightarrow \text{End}^0(\bar{J}) = \mathcal{M}_3(B_{p,\infty})$$

such that complex conjugation on the LHS corresponds to the Rosati involution on the RHS.

Recall that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})$ and $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with α a totally negative element. Let us call $\gamma = \iota(\alpha)$. Then (using R2)

$$0 > \text{Tr}(\alpha) = -\text{Tr}(\gamma\gamma^\dagger) = -\text{Tr}(\gamma\lambda\gamma^\vee\lambda^{-1}) = -\sum \text{Nr}(\gamma_{ij})$$

Goren & Lauter's Lemma about small norm elements implies that we have an embedding $\iota : K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_3(K_1)$ with K_1 an at most degree 2 CM-field.

(R1) $\Rightarrow K_1 = \mathbb{Q}$. This gives us a contradiction with $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$. \square

- 1 Motivation
 - Gross-Zagier Formula
 - Gross-Zagier $g=2$
 - Gross-Zagier $g=3$
- 2 Set up
 - Abelian Varieties with CM
 - Bad reduction
- 3 Main Theorem
 - The statement
 - The Proof
- 4 Removing the assumptions

Restrictions

How can we remove the restrictions??

(R1) Restriction 1: we need to use more fine arguments, not only dimension ones. We still didn't use the primitivity of the CM-type. The reduction of the CM-type is not well-defined, we have to work with the Lie type, and prove that if $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d}) \subseteq K$, then $\iota(-\sqrt{d})$ is a matrix equivalent to

$$\pm\sqrt{-d} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Restrictions

How can we remove the restrictions??

(R1) Restriction 1: we need to use more fine arguments, not only dimension ones. We still didn't use the primitivity of the CM-type. The reduction of the CM-type is not well-defined, we have to work with the Lie type, and prove that if $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d}) \subseteq K$, then $\iota(-\sqrt{d})$ is a matrix equivalent to

$$\pm\sqrt{-d} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(R2) Restriction 2: We need to study the case in which \bar{C} has an irreducible component of genus 1 and one of genus 2. That is, consider the case in which the polarization may be given by

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A \in \mathcal{M}_2(B_{p,\infty})$$

Thank you!