

# Fuglede's spectral set conjecture on cyclic groups

Romanos Diogenes Malikiosis

TU Berlin

Frame Theory and Exponential Bases

4-8 June 2018

ICERM, Providence

Joint work with M. Kolountzakis (U. of Crete)  
& work in progress

## Question

On which measurable domains  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$  can we do Fourier analysis, that is, there is an orthonormal basis of exponential functions  $\left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} e^{2\pi i \lambda \cdot x} : \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  discrete?

## Definition

If  $\Omega$  satisfies the above condition it is called *spectral*, and  $\Lambda$  is the *spectrum* of  $\Omega$ .

## Question

On which measurable domains  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$  can we do Fourier analysis, that is, there is an orthonormal basis of exponential functions  $\left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} e^{2\pi i \lambda \cdot x} : \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  discrete?

## Definition

If  $\Omega$  satisfies the above condition it is called *spectral*, and  $\Lambda$  is the *spectrum* of  $\Omega$ .

- The  $n$ -dimensional cube  $C = [0, 1]^n$ .

## Question

On which measurable domains  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$  can we do Fourier analysis, that is, there is an orthonormal basis of exponential functions  $\left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} e^{2\pi i \lambda \cdot x} : \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  discrete?

## Definition

If  $\Omega$  satisfies the above condition it is called *spectral*, and  $\Lambda$  is the *spectrum* of  $\Omega$ .

- The  $n$ -dimensional cube  $C = [0, 1]^n$ .
- Parallelepipeds  $AC$ , where  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

## Question

On which measurable domains  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$  can we do Fourier analysis, that is, there is an orthonormal basis of exponential functions  $\left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} e^{2\pi i \lambda \cdot x} : \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  discrete?

## Definition

If  $\Omega$  satisfies the above condition it is called *spectral*, and  $\Lambda$  is the *spectrum* of  $\Omega$ .

- The  $n$ -dimensional cube  $C = [0, 1]^n$ .
- Parallelepipeds  $AC$ , where  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .
- Hexagons on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## Question

On which measurable domains  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$  can we do Fourier analysis, that is, there is an orthonormal basis of exponential functions  $\left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} e^{2\pi i \lambda \cdot x} : \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  discrete?

## Definition

If  $\Omega$  satisfies the above condition it is called *spectral*, and  $\Lambda$  is the *spectrum* of  $\Omega$ .

- The  $n$ -dimensional cube  $C = [0, 1]^n$ .
- Parallelepipeds  $AC$ , where  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .
- Hexagons on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- Not  $n$ -dimensional balls! ( $n \geq 2$ ) (Iosevich, Katz, Pedersen, '99)

## Question

On which measurable domains  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$  can we do Fourier analysis, that is, there is an orthonormal basis of exponential functions  $\left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} e^{2\pi i \lambda \cdot x} : \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  discrete?

## Definition

If  $\Omega$  satisfies the above condition it is called *spectral*, and  $\Lambda$  is the *spectrum* of  $\Omega$ .

- The  $n$ -dimensional cube  $C = [0, 1]^n$ .
- Parallelepipeds  $AC$ , where  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ .
- Hexagons on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- Not  $n$ -dimensional balls! ( $n \geq 2$ ) (Iosevich, Katz, Pedersen, '99)

# Fuglede's conjecture

## Definition

A set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of positive measure is called *tile* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if there is  $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\Omega \oplus T = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Conjecture (Fuglede, 1974)

A set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of positive measure is spectral if and only if it tiles  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .



# Fuglede's conjecture

## Definition

A set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of positive measure is called *tile* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if there is  $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\Omega \oplus T = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Conjecture (Fuglede, 1974)

A set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of positive measure is spectral if and only if it tiles  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Basic properties

Let  $e_\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi i\lambda \cdot x}$ . Wlog,  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ . Inner product and norm on  $L^2(\Omega)$ :

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\Omega = \int_\Omega f \bar{g}, \quad \|f\|_\Omega^2 = \int_\Omega |f|^2.$$

It holds  $\langle e_\lambda, e_\mu \rangle_\Omega = \widehat{\mathbf{1}}_\Omega(\mu - \lambda)$ .

## Lemma

$\Lambda$  is a spectrum of  $\Omega$  if and only if

$$\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_\Omega(\lambda - \mu) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \neq \mu, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$$

and

$$\forall f \in L^2(\Omega) : \|f\|_\Omega^2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, e_\lambda \rangle|^2.$$

# Basic properties

Let  $e_\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi i\lambda \cdot x}$ . Wlog,  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ . Inner product and norm on  $L^2(\Omega)$ :

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\Omega = \int_\Omega f \bar{g}, \quad \|f\|_\Omega^2 = \int_\Omega |f|^2.$$

It holds  $\langle e_\lambda, e_\mu \rangle_\Omega = \widehat{\mathbf{1}}_\Omega(\mu - \lambda)$ .

## Lemma

$\Lambda$  is a spectrum of  $\Omega$  if and only if

$$\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_\Omega(\lambda - \mu) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \neq \mu, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$$

and

$$\forall f \in L^2(\Omega) : \|f\|_\Omega^2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, e_\lambda \rangle|^2.$$

## Theorem (Fuglede, '74)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open bounded set of measure 1 and  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a lattice with density 1. Then  $\Omega \oplus \Lambda = \mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $\Lambda^*$  is a spectrum of  $\Omega$ .*

## Theorem (Kolountzakis, '00)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a convex asymmetric body. Then  $\Omega$  is not spectral.*

## Theorem (Fuglede, '74)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open bounded set of measure 1 and  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a lattice with density 1. Then  $\Omega \oplus \Lambda = \mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $\Lambda^*$  is a spectrum of  $\Omega$ .*

## Theorem (Kolountzakis, '00)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a convex asymmetric body. Then  $\Omega$  is not spectral.*

## Theorem (Iosevich, Katz, Tao, '01)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a convex symmetric body. If  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth, then  $\Omega$  is not spectral. The same holds for  $n = 2$  when  $\partial\Omega$  is piecewise smooth possessing at least one point of nonzero curvature.*

## Theorem (Fuglede, '74)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open bounded set of measure 1 and  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a lattice with density 1. Then  $\Omega \oplus \Lambda = \mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $\Lambda^*$  is a spectrum of  $\Omega$ .*

## Theorem (Kolountzakis, '00)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a convex asymmetric body. Then  $\Omega$  is not spectral.*

## Theorem (Iosevich, Katz, Tao, '01)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a convex symmetric body. If  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth, then  $\Omega$  is not spectral. The same holds for  $n = 2$  when  $\partial\Omega$  is piecewise smooth possessing at least one point of nonzero curvature.*

## Theorem (Fuglede, '74)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open bounded set of measure 1 and  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a lattice with density 1. Then  $\Omega \oplus \Lambda = \mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $\Lambda^*$  is a spectrum of  $\Omega$ .*

## Theorem (Kolountzakis, '00)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a convex asymmetric body. Then  $\Omega$  is not spectral.*

## Theorem (Iosevich, Katz, Tao, '01)

*Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a convex symmetric body. If  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth, then  $\Omega$  is not spectral. The same holds for  $n = 2$  when  $\partial\Omega$  is piecewise smooth possessing at least one point of nonzero curvature.*

According to the theorems of Venkov ('54) and McMullen ('80), the above do not tile  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Theorem (Greenfeld, Lev, '17)

*Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex symmetric polytope, which is spectral. Then its facets are also symmetric. Also, if  $n = 3$ , any spectral convex polytope tiles the space.*



According to the theorems of Venkov ('54) and McMullen ('80), the above do not tile  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Theorem (Greenfeld, Lev, '17)

*Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex symmetric polytope, which is spectral. Then its facets are also symmetric. Also, if  $n = 3$ , any spectral convex polytope tiles the space.*

# Tao's counterexample

*"A cataclysmic event in the history of this problem took place in 2004 when Terry Tao disproved the Fuglede Conjecture by exhibiting a spectral set in  $\mathbb{R}^{12}$  which does not tile."*

*The Fuglede Conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ , Iosevich, Mayeli, Pakianathan, 2017.*

# Tao's counterexample

*"A cataclysmic event in the history of this problem took place in 2004 when Terry Tao disproved the Fuglede Conjecture by exhibiting a spectral set in  $\mathbb{R}^{12}$  which does not tile."*

*The Fuglede Conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ , Iosevich, Mayeli, Pakianathan, 2017.*

Theorem (Tao, '04)

*There are spectral subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  of positive measure that do not tile  $\mathbb{R}^5$ .*

# Tao's counterexample

*"A cataclysmic event in the history of this problem took place in 2004 when Terry Tao disproved the Fuglede Conjecture by exhibiting a spectral set in  $\mathbb{R}^{12}$  which does not tile."*

*The Fuglede Conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ , Iosevich, Mayeli, Pakianathan, 2017.*

## Theorem (Tao, '04)

*There are spectral subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  of positive measure that do not tile  $\mathbb{R}^5$ .*

## Theorem (Farkas-Kolountzakis-Matolcsi-Mora-Revesz-Tao, '04-'06)

*Fuglede's conjecture fails for  $n \geq 3$  (both directions).*

# Tao's counterexample

*"A cataclysmic event in the history of this problem took place in 2004 when Terry Tao disproved the Fuglede Conjecture by exhibiting a spectral set in  $\mathbb{R}^{12}$  which does not tile."*

*The Fuglede Conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ , Iosevich, Mayeli, Pakianathan, 2017.*

## Theorem (Tao, '04)

*There are spectral subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  of positive measure that do not tile  $\mathbb{R}^5$ .*

## Theorem (Farkas-Kolountzakis-Matolcsi-Mora-Revesz-Tao, '04-'06)

*Fuglede's conjecture fails for  $n \geq 3$  (both directions).*

The conjecture is still open for  $n \leq 2$ . Tao's counterexample is a union of unit cubes. It comes from a spectral subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^5$  of size 6.

# Tao's counterexample

*"A cataclysmic event in the history of this problem took place in 2004 when Terry Tao disproved the Fuglede Conjecture by exhibiting a spectral set in  $\mathbb{R}^{12}$  which does not tile."*

*The Fuglede Conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ , Iosevich, Mayeli, Pakianathan, 2017.*

## Theorem (Tao, '04)

*There are spectral subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  of positive measure that do not tile  $\mathbb{R}^5$ .*

## Theorem (Farkas-Kolountzakis-Matolcsi-Mora-Revesz-Tao, '04-'06)

*Fuglede's conjecture fails for  $n \geq 3$  (both directions).*

The conjecture is still open for  $n \leq 2$ . Tao's counterexample is a union of unit cubes. It comes from a spectral subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^5$  of size 6.

## Definition

Let  $G$  be an Abelian group. We write **(S-T)(G)** if every bounded spectral subset of  $G$  is also a tile, and **(T-S)(G)** if every bounded tile of  $G$  is spectral.

## Theorem (Dutkay, Lai, '14)

*The following hold:*

$$\mathbf{(T-S)(\mathbb{Z}_n)} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(T-S)(\mathbb{Z})} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(T-S)(\mathbb{R})}$$

*and*

$$\mathbf{(S-T)(\mathbb{R})} \Rightarrow \mathbf{(S-T)(\mathbb{Z})} \Rightarrow \mathbf{(S-T)(\mathbb{Z}_n)} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

## Definition

Let  $G$  be an Abelian group. We write **(S-T( $G$ ))** if every bounded spectral subset of  $G$  is also a tile, and **(T-S( $G$ ))** if every bounded tile of  $G$  is spectral.

## Theorem (Dutkay, Lai, '14)

*The following hold:*

$$\mathbf{(T-S(\mathbb{Z}_n))} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(T-S(\mathbb{Z}))} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(T-S(\mathbb{R}))}$$

*and*

$$\mathbf{(S-T(\mathbb{R}))} \Rightarrow \mathbf{(S-T(\mathbb{Z}))} \Rightarrow \mathbf{(S-T(\mathbb{Z}_n))} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$



The last hold in both directions if every bounded spectral subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  has a rational spectrum. Some results in the positive direction:

The last hold in both directions if every bounded spectral subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  has a rational spectrum. Some results in the positive direction:

- If  $\Omega = A + [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is spectral, with  $|A| = N$  and  $A \subseteq [0, M - 1]$  with  $M < 5N/2$ , then  $\Omega$  has a rational spectrum (Łaba, '02).

The last hold in both directions if every bounded spectral subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  has a rational spectrum. Some results in the positive direction:

- If  $\Omega = A + [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is spectral, with  $|A| = N$  and  $A \subseteq [0, M - 1]$  with  $M < 5N/2$ , then  $\Omega$  has a rational spectrum (Łaba, '02).
- If Fuglede's conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then every bounded spectral set has a rational spectrum (Dutkay, Lai, '14).

The last hold in both directions if every bounded spectral subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  has a rational spectrum. Some results in the positive direction:

- If  $\Omega = A + [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is spectral, with  $|A| = N$  and  $A \subseteq [0, M - 1]$  with  $M < 5N/2$ , then  $\Omega$  has a rational spectrum (Łaba, '02).
- If Fuglede's conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then every bounded spectral set has a rational spectrum (Dutkay, Lai, '14).
- If  $\Omega = A + [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is spectral, and  $A - A$  contains certain patterns (flags), then  $\Omega$  has rational spectrum (Bose, Madan, '17).

The last hold in both directions if every bounded spectral subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  has a rational spectrum. Some results in the positive direction:

- If  $\Omega = A + [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is spectral, with  $|A| = N$  and  $A \subseteq [0, M - 1]$  with  $M < 5N/2$ , then  $\Omega$  has a rational spectrum (Łaba, '02).
- If Fuglede's conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then every bounded spectral set has a rational spectrum (Dutkay, Lai, '14).
- If  $\Omega = A + [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is spectral, and  $A - A$  contains certain patterns (flags), then  $\Omega$  has rational spectrum (Bose, Madan, '17).

# Non-cyclic groups

The properties **(S-T(G))** and **(T-S(G))** are hereditary, that is, they hold for every subgroup of  $G$ .

It suffices then to examine groups of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_N^d$ . For  $d \geq 2$  we get the following results:

The properties **(S-T(G))** and **(T-S(G))** are hereditary, that is, they hold for every subgroup of  $G$ .

It suffices then to examine groups of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_N^d$ . For  $d \geq 2$  we get the following results:

- There is a spectral subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_8^3$  that does not tile (Kolountzakis, Matolcsi, '06).

The properties **(S-T(G))** and **(T-S(G))** are hereditary, that is, they hold for every subgroup of  $G$ .

It suffices then to examine groups of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_N^d$ . For  $d \geq 2$  we get the following results:

- There is a spectral subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_8^3$  that does not tile (Kolountzakis, Matolcsi, '06).
- There is a tile of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}^3$  that is not spectral (Farkas, Matolcsi, Mora, '06).



The properties **(S-T( $G$ ))** and **(T-S( $G$ ))** are hereditary, that is, they hold for every subgroup of  $G$ .

It suffices then to examine groups of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_N^d$ . For  $d \geq 2$  we get the following results:

- There is a spectral subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_8^3$  that does not tile (Kolountzakis, Matolcsi, '06).
- There is a tile of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}^3$  that is not spectral (Farkas, Matolcsi, Mora, '06).
- Fuglede's conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ ,  $p$  prime (Iosevich, Mayeli, Pakianathan, '17).

The properties **(S-T(G))** and **(T-S(G))** are hereditary, that is, they hold for every subgroup of  $G$ .

It suffices then to examine groups of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_N^d$ . For  $d \geq 2$  we get the following results:

- There is a spectral subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_8^3$  that does not tile (Kolountzakis, Matolcsi, '06).
- There is a tile of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}^3$  that is not spectral (Farkas, Matolcsi, Mora, '06).
- Fuglede's conjecture holds in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ ,  $p$  prime (Iosevich, Mayeli, Pakianathan, '17).

Łaba's work on tiles and spectral subsets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|A| = p^n$  or  $p^n q^m$ , along with the results of Coven-Meyerowitz on tiling subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , has the following consequences for cyclic groups  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$ :

- If  $N$  is a prime power, then both **(S-T( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** and **(T-S( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** hold (also by Fan, Fan, Shi, '16, and Kolountzakis, M, '17).

Łaba's work on tiles and spectral subsets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|A| = p^n$  or  $p^n q^m$ , along with the results of Coven-Meyerowitz on tiling subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , has the following consequences for cyclic groups  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$ :

- If  $N$  is a prime power, then both **(S-T( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** and **(T-S( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** hold (also by Fan, Fan, Shi, '16, and Kolountzakis, M, '17).
- If  $N = p^n q^m$ , then **(T-S( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** (also Kolountzakis, M, '17).

Łaba's work on tiles and spectral subsets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|A| = p^n$  or  $p^n q^m$ , along with the results of Coven-Meyerowitz on tiling subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , has the following consequences for cyclic groups  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$ :

- If  $N$  is a prime power, then both **(S-T( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** and **(T-S( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** hold (also by Fan, Fan, Shi, '16, and Kolountzakis, M, '17).
- If  $N = p^n q^m$ , then **(T-S( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** (also Kolountzakis, M, '17).

Łaba's work on tiles and spectral subsets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|A| = p^n$  or  $p^n q^m$ , along with the results of Coven-Meyerowitz on tiling subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , has the following consequences for cyclic groups  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$ :

- If  $N$  is a prime power, then both **(S-T( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** and **(T-S( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** hold (also by Fan, Fan, Shi, '16, and Kolountzakis, M, '17).
- If  $N = p^n q^m$ , then **(T-S( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ))** (also Kolountzakis, M, '17).

Moreover,

- If  $N = p^n q$ , then **(S-T)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (Kolountzakis, M, '17).
- If  $N$  is square-free, then **(T-S)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (Łaba and Meyerowitz, answering a question in Tao's blog, also, Shi '18).

Moreover,

- If  $N = p^n q$ , then **(S-T)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (Kolountzakis, M, '17).
- If  $N$  is square-free, then **(T-S)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (Łaba and Meyerowitz, answering a question in Tao's blog, also, Shi '18).
- If  $N = p^n q^m$ , with  $m$  or  $n \leq 6$ , then **(S-T)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (M, work in progress).



Moreover,

- If  $N = p^n q$ , then **(S-T)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (Kolountzakis, M, '17).
- If  $N$  is square-free, then **(T-S)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (Łaba and Meyerowitz, answering a question in Tao's blog, also, Shi '18).
- If  $N = p^n q^m$ , with  $m$  or  $n \leq 6$ , then **(S-T)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (M, work in progress).
- If  $N = p^n q^m$ , with  $m, n \geq 7$  and  $p^{n-6} < q^3$  with  $p < q$ , then **(S-T)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (M, work in progress).

Moreover,

- If  $N = p^n q$ , then **(S-T)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (Kolountzakis, M, '17).
- If  $N$  is square-free, then **(T-S)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (Łaba and Meyerowitz, answering a question in Tao's blog, also, Shi '18).
- If  $N = p^n q^m$ , with  $m$  or  $n \leq 6$ , then **(S-T)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (M, work in progress).
- If  $N = p^n q^m$ , with  $m, n \geq 7$  and  $p^{n-6} < q^3$  with  $p < q$ , then **(S-T)( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ )** (M, work in progress).

# The mask polynomial

Definition (Coven-Meyerowitz, '98)

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ . The mask polynomial  $A$  is given by

$$\sum_{a \in A} X^a \in \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^N - 1).$$

It holds

$$\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_A(d) = A(\zeta_N^d), \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$

$\Lambda$  is a spectrum of  $A$  if and only if  $|A| = |\Lambda|$  and

$$A(\zeta_{\text{ord}(\ell - \ell')}) = 0, \forall \ell, \ell' \in \Lambda, \ell \neq \ell'.$$

# The mask polynomial

Definition (Coven-Meyerowitz, '98)

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ . The mask polynomial  $A$  is given by

$$\sum_{a \in A} X^a \in \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^N - 1).$$

It holds

$$\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_A(d) = A(\zeta_N^d), \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$

$\Lambda$  is a spectrum of  $A$  if and only if  $|A| = |\Lambda|$  and

$$A(\zeta_{\text{ord}(\ell - \ell')}) = 0, \forall \ell, \ell' \in \Lambda, \ell \neq \ell'.$$

Moreover,  $A \oplus T = \mathbb{Z}_N$  if and only if

$$A(X)T(X) \equiv 1 + X + X^2 + \dots + X^{N-1} \pmod{(X^N - 1)}.$$

# The mask polynomial

Definition (Coven-Meyerowitz, '98)

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ . The mask polynomial  $A$  is given by

$$\sum_{a \in A} X^a \in \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^N - 1).$$

It holds

$$\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_A(d) = A(\zeta_N^d), \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$

$\Lambda$  is a spectrum of  $A$  if and only if  $|A| = |\Lambda|$  and

$$A(\zeta_{\text{ord}(\ell - \ell')}) = 0, \forall \ell, \ell' \in \Lambda, \ell \neq \ell'.$$

Moreover,  $A \oplus T = \mathbb{Z}_N$  if and only if

$$A(X)T(X) \equiv 1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{N-1} \pmod{(X^N - 1)}.$$

# The properties (T1) and (T2)

## Definition

Let  $A(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^N - 1)$ , and let

$$S_A = \{d \mid N : d \text{ prime power}, A(\zeta_d) = 0\}.$$

We define the following properties:

**(T1)**  $A(1) = \prod_{s \in S_A} \Phi_s(1)$

**(T2)** Let  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k \in S_A$  be powers of different primes. Then  $\Phi_s(X) \mid A(X)$ , where  $s = s_1 \cdots s_k$ .

## Remark

When  $N$  is a prime power, (T2) holds vacuously. If  $N = p^n q^m$ , then (T2) is simply

$$A(\zeta_{p^k}) = A(\zeta_{q^\ell}) = 0 \Rightarrow A(\zeta_{p^k q^\ell}) = 0$$

# The properties (T1) and (T2)

## Definition

Let  $A(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^N - 1)$ , and let

$$S_A = \{d \mid N : d \text{ prime power}, A(\zeta_d) = 0\}.$$

We define the following properties:

**(T1)**  $A(1) = \prod_{s \in S_A} \Phi_s(1)$

**(T2)** Let  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k \in S_A$  be powers of different primes. Then  $\Phi_s(X) \mid A(X)$ , where  $s = s_1 \cdots s_k$ .

## Remark

When  $N$  is a prime power, (T2) holds vacuously. If  $N = p^n q^m$ , then (T2) is simply

$$A(\zeta_{p^k}) = A(\zeta_{q^\ell}) = 0 \Rightarrow A(\zeta_{p^k q^\ell}) = 0$$

# Example

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^4 q^4 r^3$ , such that

$$A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_{p^3}) = A(\zeta_{q^2}) = A(\zeta_{r^3}) = 0,$$

and  $A(X)$  has no other root of order a power of  $p$ ,  $q$ , or  $r$ . Then, (T1) is equivalent to  $|A| = p^2 qr$ , and (T2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} A(\zeta_{pq^2}) &= A(\zeta_{p^3q^2}) = A(\zeta_{pr^3}) = A(\zeta_{p^3r^3}) = A(\zeta_{q^2r^3}) = \\ &= A(\zeta_{pq^2r^3}) = A(\zeta_{p^3q^2r^3}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$



# Tiling, spectrality, and (T1), (T2)

The following are consequences of the works of Coven-Meyerowitz ('98) and Łaba ('02); also Kolountzakis-Matolcsi ('07).

## Theorem

*If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  satisfies (T1) and (T2), then it tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ . If  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , then it satisfies (T1); if in addition  $N = p^n q^m$ , then  $A$  satisfies (T2) as well.*

## Theorem

*If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  satisfies (T1) and (T2), then it is spectral. If  $N = p^n$  and  $A$  is spectral, then it satisfies (T1).*

# Tiling, spectrality, and (T1), (T2)

The following are consequences of the works of Coven-Meyerowitz ('98) and Łaba ('02); also Kolountzakis-Matolcsi ('07).

## Theorem

*If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  satisfies (T1) and (T2), then it tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ . If  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , then it satisfies (T1); if in addition  $N = p^n q^m$ , then  $A$  satisfies (T2) as well.*

## Theorem

*If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  satisfies (T1) and (T2), then it is spectral. If  $N = p^n$  and  $A$  is spectral, then it satisfies (T1).*

# $(T-S(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ , $N$ square-free

Let  $A \oplus T = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , with  $|A| = m$ . Then, also  $A \oplus mT = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , due to

$$(A - A) \cap (T - T) = \{0\}.$$

The mask polynomial of  $mT$  is  $T(X^m) \bmod (X^N - 1)$ , so if  $p_1, \dots, p_k \mid m$ , we have

$$A(\zeta_{p_j}) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

since  $p_j \nmid |T|$ ,

# $(T-S(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ , $N$ square-free

Let  $A \oplus T = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , with  $|A| = m$ . Then, also  $A \oplus mT = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , due to

$$(A - A) \cap (T - T) = \{0\}.$$

The mask polynomial of  $mT$  is  $T(X^m) \bmod (X^N - 1)$ , so if  $p_1, \dots, p_k \mid m$ , we have

$$A(\zeta_{p_j}) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

since  $p_j \nmid |T|$ , and

$$T(\zeta_{p_1 \dots p_k}^m) = T(1) \neq 0,$$

# $(T-S(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ , $N$ square-free

Let  $A \oplus T = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , with  $|A| = m$ . Then, also  $A \oplus mT = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , due to

$$(A - A) \cap (T - T) = \{0\}.$$

The mask polynomial of  $mT$  is  $T(X^m) \bmod (X^N - 1)$ , so if  $p_1, \dots, p_k \mid m$ , we have

$$A(\zeta_{p_j}) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

since  $p_j \nmid |T|$ , and

$$T(\zeta_{p_1 \dots p_k}^m) = T(1) \neq 0,$$

thus

$$A(\zeta_{p_1 \dots p_k}) = 0,$$

and  $A$  satisfies (T2).

# $(T-S(\mathbb{Z}_N))$ , $N$ square-free

Let  $A \oplus T = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , with  $|A| = m$ . Then, also  $A \oplus mT = \mathbb{Z}_N$ , due to

$$(A - A) \cap (T - T) = \{0\}.$$

The mask polynomial of  $mT$  is  $T(X^m) \bmod (X^N - 1)$ , so if  $p_1, \dots, p_k \mid m$ , we have

$$A(\zeta_{p_j}) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

since  $p_j \nmid |T|$ , and

$$T(\zeta_{p_1 \dots p_k}^m) = T(1) \neq 0,$$

thus

$$A(\zeta_{p_1 \dots p_k}) = 0,$$

and  $A$  satisfies (T2).

# Primitive subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_N$

## Definition

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is called *primitive* if it is not contained in a proper coset of  $G$ .

## Lemma

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$  with  $N = p^n q^m$ , and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  primitive. Then  $(A - A) \cap \mathbb{Z}_N^* \neq \emptyset$ .

# Primitive subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_N$

## Definition

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is called *primitive* if it is not contained in a proper coset of  $G$ .

## Lemma

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$  with  $N = p^n q^m$ , and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  primitive. Then  $(A - A) \cap \mathbb{Z}_N^* \neq \emptyset$ .

## Proof.

Let  $a \in A$ . Since  $a - A \not\subseteq p\mathbb{Z}_N$  or  $q\mathbb{Z}_N$ , there are  $a', a'' \in A$  such that  $a - a' \notin p\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $a - a'' \notin q\mathbb{Z}_N$ . If either  $a - a' \notin q\mathbb{Z}_N$  or  $a - a'' \notin p\mathbb{Z}_N$ , then we're done, so wlog  $q \mid a - a'$  and  $p \mid a - a''$ , which yields  $a'' - a' \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ . □



# Primitive subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_N$

## Definition

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is called *primitive* if it is not contained in a proper coset of  $G$ .

## Lemma

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$  with  $N = p^n q^m$ , and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  primitive. Then  $(A - A) \cap \mathbb{Z}_N^* \neq \emptyset$ .

## Proof.

Let  $a \in A$ . Since  $a - A \not\subseteq p\mathbb{Z}_N$  or  $q\mathbb{Z}_N$ , there are  $a', a'' \in A$  such that  $a - a' \notin p\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $a - a'' \notin q\mathbb{Z}_N$ . If either  $a - a' \notin q\mathbb{Z}_N$  or  $a - a'' \notin p\mathbb{Z}_N$ , then we're done, so wlog  $q \mid a - a'$  and  $p \mid a - a''$ , which yields  $a'' - a' \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ . □

# Primitive spectral pairs, $N = p^n q^m$

## Corollary

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , such that both  $A$  and  $B$  are primitive. Then,

$$A(\zeta_N) = B(\zeta_N) = 0.$$

## Remark

If  $A$  is not primitive, then  $A \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}_N$  (say), which implies  $(B - B) \cap \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}_N = \{0\}$ . Then,  $(\bar{A}, B)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_{N/p}$ , where  $p \cdot \bar{A} = A$ . Moreover, if  $\bar{A}$  satisfies (T1) and (T2) in  $\mathbb{Z}_{N/p}$ , then  $A$  satisfies the same properties in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Corollary

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , such that both  $A$  and  $B$  are primitive. Then,

$$A(\zeta_N) = B(\zeta_N) = 0.$$

## Remark

If  $A$  is not primitive, then  $A \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}_N$  (say), which implies  $(B - B) \cap \frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}_N = \{0\}$ . Then,  $(\bar{A}, B)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_{N/p}$ , where  $p \cdot \bar{A} = A$ . Moreover, if  $\bar{A}$  satisfies (T1) and (T2) in  $\mathbb{Z}_{N/p}$ , then  $A$  satisfies the same properties in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

# Vanishing sums of roots of unity

## Lemma

Let  $\text{rad}(N) = pq$  and  $A(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with nonnegative coefficients, such that  $A(\zeta_N^d) = 0$ , for some  $d \mid N$ . Then,

$$A(X^d) \equiv P(X^d)\Phi_p(X^{N/p}) + Q(X^d)\Phi_q(X^{N/q}) \pmod{X^N - 1},$$

where  $P(X), Q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  can be taken with nonnegative coefficients.

- The polynomial  $A(X^d)$  is the mask polynomial of the multiset  $d \cdot A$ .

# Vanishing sums of roots of unity

## Lemma

Let  $\text{rad}(N) = pq$  and  $A(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with nonnegative coefficients, such that  $A(\zeta_N^d) = 0$ , for some  $d \mid N$ . Then,

$$A(X^d) \equiv P(X^d)\Phi_p(X^{N/p}) + Q(X^d)\Phi_q(X^{N/q}) \pmod{X^N - 1},$$

where  $P(X), Q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  can be taken with nonnegative coefficients.

- The polynomial  $A(X^d)$  is the mask polynomial of the multiset  $d \cdot A$ .
- $\Phi_p(X^{N/p})$  is the mask polynomial of the subgroup  $\frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}_N$ . Its cosets are called  $p$ -cycles.

# Vanishing sums of roots of unity

## Lemma

Let  $\text{rad}(N) = pq$  and  $A(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with nonnegative coefficients, such that  $A(\zeta_N^d) = 0$ , for some  $d \mid N$ . Then,

$$A(X^d) \equiv P(X^d)\Phi_p(X^{N/p}) + Q(X^d)\Phi_q(X^{N/q}) \pmod{X^N - 1},$$

where  $P(X), Q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  can be taken with nonnegative coefficients.

- The polynomial  $A(X^d)$  is the mask polynomial of the multiset  $d \cdot A$ .
- $\Phi_p(X^{N/p})$  is the mask polynomial of the subgroup  $\frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}_N$ . Its cosets are called  $p$ -cycles.
- The above Lemma shows that if  $A(\zeta_N) = 0$ , then  $A$  is the disjoint union of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles.

# Vanishing sums of roots of unity

## Lemma

Let  $\text{rad}(N) = pq$  and  $A(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with nonnegative coefficients, such that  $A(\zeta_N^d) = 0$ , for some  $d \mid N$ . Then,

$$A(X^d) \equiv P(X^d)\Phi_p(X^{N/p}) + Q(X^d)\Phi_q(X^{N/q}) \pmod{X^N - 1},$$

where  $P(X), Q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  can be taken with nonnegative coefficients.

- The polynomial  $A(X^d)$  is the mask polynomial of the multiset  $d \cdot A$ .
- $\Phi_p(X^{N/p})$  is the mask polynomial of the subgroup  $\frac{N}{p}\mathbb{Z}_N$ . Its cosets are called  $p$ -cycles.
- The above Lemma shows that if  $A(\zeta_N) = 0$ , then  $A$  is the disjoint union of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles.

## Remark

If  $A$  is the disjoint union of  $p$ -cycles only, then

$$A \cap \left\{ 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{p} - 1 \right\} \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} B_{0 \bmod p}$$

is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_{N/p}$ .

We reduce to the case where both  $A$  and  $B$  are nontrivial unions of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles. This implies

$$A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_q) = B(\zeta_p) = B(\zeta_q) = 0.$$



## Remark

If  $A$  is the disjoint union of  $p$ -cycles only, then

$$A \cap \left\{ 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{p} - 1 \right\} \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} B_{0 \bmod p}$$

is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_{N/p}$ .

We reduce to the case where both  $A$  and  $B$  are nontrivial unions of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles. This implies

$$A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_q) = B(\zeta_p) = B(\zeta_q) = 0.$$

As a consequence,

$$|A_j \bmod p| = |B_j \bmod p| = \frac{1}{p}|A|, \quad |A_i \bmod q| = |B_i \bmod q| = \frac{1}{q}|A|,$$

for all  $i, j$ .

## Remark

If  $A$  is the disjoint union of  $p$ -cycles only, then

$$A \cap \left\{ 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{p} - 1 \right\} \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} B_{0 \bmod p}$$

is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_{N/p}$ .

We reduce to the case where both  $A$  and  $B$  are nontrivial unions of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles. This implies

$$A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_q) = B(\zeta_p) = B(\zeta_q) = 0.$$

As a consequence,

$$|A_{j \bmod p}| = |B_{j \bmod p}| = \frac{1}{p}|A|, \quad |A_{i \bmod q}| = |B_{i \bmod q}| = \frac{1}{q}|A|,$$

for all  $i, j$ .

## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a primitive spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is a union of  $p$ - (or  $q$ -) cycles exclusively. Then, both  $A(X)$  and  $B(X)$  vanish at

$$\zeta_N, \zeta_{p^n}, \zeta_{q^m}, \\ \zeta_p, \zeta_q, \zeta_{pq},$$

## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a primitive spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is a union of  $p$ - (or  $q$ -) cycles exclusively. Then, both  $A(X)$  and  $B(X)$  vanish at

$$\zeta_N, \zeta_{p^n}, \zeta_{q^m},$$

$$\zeta_p, \zeta_q, \zeta_{pq},$$

$$\zeta_{p^n q}, \zeta_{p q^m}.$$

## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a primitive spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is a union of  $p$ - (or  $q$ -) cycles exclusively. Then, both  $A(X)$  and  $B(X)$  vanish at

$$\zeta_N, \zeta_{p^n}, \zeta_{q^m},$$

$$\zeta_p, \zeta_q, \zeta_{pq},$$

$$\zeta_{p^n q}, \zeta_{pq^m}.$$

## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a primitive spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is a union of  $p$ - (or  $q$ -) cycles exclusively. Then, both  $A(X)$  and  $B(X)$  vanish at

$$\zeta_N, \zeta_{p^n}, \zeta_{q^m},$$

$$\zeta_p, \zeta_q, \zeta_{pq},$$

$$\zeta_{p^n q}, \zeta_{pq^m}.$$

# A special case

## Proposition

If  $N = p^m q^n$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  is spectral satisfying

$$A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_{p^2}) = \cdots = A(\zeta_{p^m}) = 0$$

then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Sketch of proof.

By hypothesis,  $|A_{j \bmod p^m}| = \frac{1}{p^m} |A|$ .

# A special case

## Proposition

If  $N = p^m q^n$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  is spectral satisfying

$$A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_{p^2}) = \cdots = A(\zeta_{p^m}) = 0$$

then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Sketch of proof.

By hypothesis,  $|A_{j \bmod p^m}| = \frac{1}{p^m} |A|$ . Each  $A_{j \bmod p^m}(X)$  has precisely the same roots of the form  $\zeta_{q^k}$  with  $A(X)$ . So,  $A$  satisfies (T1).



# A special case

## Proposition

If  $N = p^m q^n$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  is spectral satisfying

$$A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_{p^2}) = \cdots = A(\zeta_{p^m}) = 0$$

then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Sketch of proof.

By hypothesis,  $|A_{j \bmod p^m}| = \frac{1}{p^m} |A|$ . Each  $A_{j \bmod p^m}(X)$  has precisely the same roots of the form  $\zeta_{q^k}$  with  $A(X)$ . So,  $A$  satisfies (T1). Next, if  $A(\zeta_{q^k}) = 0$ , then for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$  we have

$$A(\zeta_{p^i q^k}) = \sum_{j=0}^{p^m-1} A_{j \bmod p^m}(\zeta_{p^i q^k}) = \sum_{j=0}^{p^m-1} \zeta_{p^i q^k}^j \sigma(\zeta_{q^k}^{-j} A_{j \bmod p^m}(\zeta_{q^k})) = 0$$

for some  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ , so it  $A$  satisfies (T2) as well.  $\square$

# A special case

## Proposition

If  $N = p^m q^n$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  is spectral satisfying

$$A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_{p^2}) = \cdots = A(\zeta_{p^m}) = 0$$

then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Sketch of proof.

By hypothesis,  $|A_{j \bmod p^m}| = \frac{1}{p^m} |A|$ . Each  $A_{j \bmod p^m}(X)$  has precisely the same roots of the form  $\zeta_{q^k}$  with  $A(X)$ . So,  $A$  satisfies (T1). Next, if  $A(\zeta_{q^k}) = 0$ , then for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$  we have

$$A(\zeta_{p^i q^k}) = \sum_{j=0}^{p^m-1} A_{j \bmod p^m}(\zeta_{p^i q^k}) = \sum_{j=0}^{p^m-1} \zeta_{p^i q^k}^j \sigma(\zeta_{q^k}^{-j} A_{j \bmod p^m}(\zeta_{q^k})) = 0$$

for some  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ , so it  $A$  satisfies (T2) as well.  $\square$

## Theorem

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral, with  $N = p^n q^m$ ,  $m \leq 2$ . Then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Proof.

Wlog,  $A$  and a spectrum  $B$  are both primitive and nontrivial unions of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles, so using the above reductions we may assume  $A(\zeta_q) = A(\zeta_{q^2}) = 0$ , which by the previous Proposition yields that  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ . □

## Theorem

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral, with  $N = p^n q^m$ ,  $m \leq 2$ . Then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Proof.

Wlog,  $A$  and a spectrum  $B$  are both primitive and nontrivial unions of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles, so using the above reductions we may assume  $A(\zeta_q) = A(\zeta_{q^2}) = 0$ , which by the previous Proposition yields that  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ . □

# The absorption-equidistribution property

## Definition

We say that a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  satisfies the absorption-equidistribution property, if for every  $d \mid N$  and  $p$  prime such that  $pd \mid N$ , either every subset  $A_{j \bmod d}$  is equidistributed mod  $pd$ , that is

$$|A_{j+kd \bmod pd}| = \frac{1}{p} |A_{j \bmod d}|, \forall k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\},$$

or every  $A_{j \bmod d}$  is absorbed mod  $pd$ , i. e. there is  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that

$$A_{j \bmod d} = A_{j+kd \bmod pd}.$$

# The absorption-equidistribution property

## Definition

We say that a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  satisfies the absorption-equidistribution property, if for every  $d \mid N$  and  $p$  prime such that  $pd \mid N$ , either every subset  $A_{j \bmod d}$  is equidistributed mod  $pd$ , that is

$$|A_{j+kd \bmod pd}| = \frac{1}{p} |A_{j \bmod d}|, \forall k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\},$$

or every  $A_{j \bmod d}$  is absorbed mod  $pd$ , i. e. there is  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that

$$A_{j \bmod d} = A_{j+kd \bmod pd}.$$

## Question

*Is the absorption-equidistribution property equivalent to (T1) & (T2)?*

# The absorption-equidistribution property

## Definition

We say that a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  satisfies the absorption-equidistribution property, if for every  $d \mid N$  and  $p$  prime such that  $pd \mid N$ , either every subset  $A_{j \bmod d}$  is equidistributed mod  $pd$ , that is

$$|A_{j+kd \bmod pd}| = \frac{1}{p}|A_{j \bmod d}|, \forall k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\},$$

or every  $A_{j \bmod d}$  is absorbed mod  $pd$ , i. e. there is  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  such that

$$A_{j \bmod d} = A_{j+kd \bmod pd}.$$

## Question

*Is the absorption-equidistribution property equivalent to (T1) & (T2)?*

## Proposition

*Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that  $A_j \bmod p^k$  is absorbed  $\bmod p^{k+1}$  for every  $j$ , some  $k < n$ . Then, there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ;*



## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that  $A_j \bmod p^k$  is absorbed  $\bmod p^{k+1}$  for every  $j$ , some  $k < n$ . Then, there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ; in addition, every  $\overline{A}_j \bmod p^k$  is equidistributed  $\bmod p^{k+1}$ ,

## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that  $A_j \bmod p^k$  is absorbed  $\bmod p^{k+1}$  for every  $j$ , some  $k < n$ . Then, there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ; in addition, every  $\overline{A}_j \bmod p^k$  is equidistributed  $\bmod p^{k+1}$ , or equivalently,

$$\overline{A}(\zeta_{p^{k+1}}) = 0.$$

## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that  $A_{j \bmod p^k}$  is absorbed mod  $p^{k+1}$  for every  $j$ , some  $k < n$ . Then, there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ; in addition, every  $\overline{A}_{j \bmod p^k}$  is equidistributed mod  $p^{k+1}$ , or equivalently,

$$\overline{A}(\zeta_{p^{k+1}}) = 0.$$

## Corollary

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ . Then there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,

## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that  $A_j \bmod p^k$  is absorbed mod  $p^{k+1}$  for every  $j$ , some  $k < n$ . Then, there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ; in addition, every  $\overline{A}_j \bmod p^k$  is equidistributed mod  $p^{k+1}$ , or equivalently,

$$\overline{A}(\zeta_{p^{k+1}}) = 0.$$

## Corollary

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ . Then there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , such that for every  $k < n$  (resp.  $\ell < m$ ), there is  $j$  such that  $\overline{A}_j \bmod p^k$  (resp.  $\overline{A}_j \bmod q^\ell$ ) is not absorbed mod  $p^{k+1}$  (resp. mod  $q^{\ell+1}$ ).

## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that  $A_{j \bmod p^k}$  is absorbed mod  $p^{k+1}$  for every  $j$ , some  $k < n$ . Then, there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ; in addition, every  $\overline{A}_{j \bmod p^k}$  is equidistributed mod  $p^{k+1}$ , or equivalently,

$$\overline{A}(\zeta_{p^{k+1}}) = 0.$$

## Corollary

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ . Then there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , such that for every  $k < n$  (resp.  $\ell < m$ ), there is  $j$  such that  $\overline{A}_{j \bmod p^k}$  (resp.  $\overline{A}_{j \bmod q^\ell}$ ) is not absorbed mod  $p^{k+1}$  (resp. mod  $q^{\ell+1}$ ). Such subsets will be called **absorption-free**.

## Proposition

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ , such that  $A_{j \bmod p^k}$  is absorbed mod  $p^{k+1}$  for every  $j$ , some  $k < n$ . Then, there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ; in addition, every  $\overline{A}_{j \bmod p^k}$  is equidistributed mod  $p^{k+1}$ , or equivalently,

$$\overline{A}(\zeta_{p^{k+1}}) = 0.$$

## Corollary

Let  $(A, B)$  be a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $N = p^n q^m$ . Then there are  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  such that  $(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = (A \oplus S, B \oplus T)$  is a spectral pair in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , such that for every  $k < n$  (resp.  $\ell < m$ ), there is  $j$  such that  $\overline{A}_{j \bmod p^k}$  (resp.  $\overline{A}_{j \bmod q^\ell}$ ) is not absorbed mod  $p^{k+1}$  (resp. mod  $q^{\ell+1}$ ). Such subsets will be called **absorption-free**.

## Remark

With the above Corollary, we may further reduce to spectral  $(A, B)$ , where both  $A, B$  are absorption-free.

This is used to prove:

## Theorem (M)

*Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^m$ , satisfying (T1). Then, it also satisfies (T2), hence  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .*

## Remark

With the above Corollary, we may further reduce to spectral  $(A, B)$ , where both  $A, B$  are absorption-free.

This is used to prove:

## Theorem (M)

*Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^m$ , satisfying (T1). Then, it also satisfies (T2), hence  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .*

Therefore it suffices to confirm (T1) for a spectral  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ .  
Actually, (T1) can be replaced by a weaker condition:

## Definition

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ . We say that  $A$  satisfies (wT1) if there is a prime  $p \mid N$ , such that  $p^k \parallel |A|$ , where  $A(X)$  has exactly  $k$  roots of the form  $\zeta_{p^\nu}$ .



## Remark

With the above Corollary, we may further reduce to spectral  $(A, B)$ , where both  $A, B$  are absorption-free.

This is used to prove:

## Theorem (M)

*Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^m$ , satisfying (T1). Then, it also satisfies (T2), hence  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .*

Therefore it suffices to confirm (T1) for a spectral  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ .  
Actually, (T1) can be replaced by a weaker condition:

## Definition

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$ . We say that  $A$  satisfies (wT1) if there is a prime  $p \mid N$ , such that  $p^k \parallel |A|$ , where  $A(X)$  has exactly  $k$  roots of the form  $\zeta_{p^\nu}$ .

## Proposition

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^m$ . If  $p^n \mid |A|$ , then  $A$  satisfies (wT1).

## Theorem

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^3$ . Then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Proposition

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^m$ . If  $p^n \mid |A|$ , then  $A$  satisfies (wT1).

## Theorem

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^3$ . Then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Sketch of proof.

Wlog,  $A$  is primitive, nontrivial union of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles, absorption-free set. We know

$$A(\zeta_q) = A(\zeta_{q^3}) = A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_{pq}) = A(\zeta_{pq^3}) = 0.$$

## Proposition

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^m$ . If  $p^n \mid |A|$ , then  $A$  satisfies (wT1).

## Theorem

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^3$ . Then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Sketch of proof.

Wlog,  $A$  is primitive, nontrivial union of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles, absorption-free set. We know

$$A(\zeta_q) = A(\zeta_{q^3}) = A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_{pq}) = A(\zeta_{pq^3}) = 0.$$

If  $A(\zeta_{q^2}) = 0$  then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , so we assume  $A(\zeta_{q^2}) \neq 0$ . The fact that  $A$  is absorption-free forces  $A(\zeta_{pq^2}) = 0$ , which implies that each  $A_j \bmod q$  is either absorbed or equidistributed mod  $q^2$ , both phenomena appearing.

## Proposition

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^m$ . If  $p^n \mid |A|$ , then  $A$  satisfies (wT1).

## Theorem

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^3$ . Then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Sketch of proof.

Wlog,  $A$  is primitive, nontrivial union of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles, absorption-free set. We know

$$A(\zeta_q) = A(\zeta_{q^3}) = A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_{pq}) = A(\zeta_{pq^3}) = 0.$$

If  $A(\zeta_{q^2}) = 0$  then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , so we assume  $A(\zeta_{q^2}) \neq 0$ . The fact that  $A$  is absorption-free forces  $A(\zeta_{pq^2}) = 0$ , which implies that each  $A_{j \bmod q}$  is either absorbed or equidistributed mod  $q^2$ , both phenomena appearing. So, there is  $j$  such that  $A_{j \bmod q^3} = \frac{1}{q^3}|A|$ , so  $A$  satisfies (wT1), and it tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .  $\square$

## Proposition

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^m$ . If  $p^n \mid |A|$ , then  $A$  satisfies (wT1).

## Theorem

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$  be spectral,  $N = p^n q^3$ . Then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .

## Sketch of proof.

Wlog,  $A$  is primitive, nontrivial union of  $p$ - and  $q$ -cycles, absorption-free set. We know

$$A(\zeta_q) = A(\zeta_{q^3}) = A(\zeta_p) = A(\zeta_{pq}) = A(\zeta_{pq^3}) = 0.$$

If  $A(\zeta_{q^2}) = 0$  then  $A$  tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , so we assume  $A(\zeta_{q^2}) \neq 0$ . The fact that  $A$  is absorption-free forces  $A(\zeta_{pq^2}) = 0$ , which implies that each  $A_{j \bmod q}$  is either absorbed or equidistributed mod  $q^2$ , both phenomena appearing. So, there is  $j$  such that  $A_{j \bmod q^3} = \frac{1}{q^3}|A|$ , so  $A$  satisfies (wT1), and it tiles  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ .  $\square$

Thank you